

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Jackson
<b>Given Name</b>	Naomi
<b>Person ID</b>	1286017
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Jackson
<b>Given Name</b>	Naomi
<b>Person ID</b>	1286017
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The current area is overwhelmed with people and is already over capacity. Roads; traffic has reached a point that is unacceptable already. An influx of more vehicles will cause serious issues. The Worsley junction, Leigh road, walkden road and east lancs are gridlocked 8-10am and 4-7PM weekedays and nearly the entire day on Saturdays. How do the GMCA propose we fix this before adding hundreds of cars? Alongside this the air poloution is at an all time high, is it responsible for put more families into this?</p> <p>Public transport here is the worst throughout the entire area of greater Manchester. With only two very unreliable bus links to the city and no direct trains and no plans for a tram system. It is irresponsible to add more commuters to the area.</p> <p>RHS has just arrived and is a tourist location. Yet another influx of traffic and people</p> <p>There are limited primary schools and absolutely no acceptable high schools in the area. The only decent options are public schools. So what happens to those who can"t pay? The children get sent to struggling schools which subsequently struggle more</p> <p>This is a truly ridiculous idea and can be built elsewhere, in an area in which it will not cause chaos</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters</b>	Do not build the development near RHS

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Jackson
<b>Given Name</b>	Naomi
<b>Person ID</b>	1286017
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information 7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Jackson
<b>Given Name</b>	Naomi
<b>Person ID</b>	1286017
<b>Title</b>	Our Spatial Strategy
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	Jackson
<b>Given Name</b>	Naomi
<b>Person ID</b>	1286017
<b>Title</b>	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The current area is overwhelmed with people and is already over capacity. Roads; traffic has reached a point that is unacceptable already. An influx of more vehicles will cause serious issues. The Worsley junction, Leigh road, walkden road and east lincs are gridlocked 8-10am and 4-7PM weekdays and nearly the entire day on Saturdays. How do the GMCA propose we fix this before adding hundreds of cars? Alongside this the air pollution is at an all time high, is it responsible for put more families into this?</p> <p>Public transport here is the worst throughout the entire area of greater Manchester. With only two very unreliable bus links to the city and no direct trains and no plans for a tram system. It is irresponsible to add more commuters to the area.</p> <p>RHS has just arrived and is a tourist location. Yet another influx of traffic and people</p> <p>There are limited primary schools and absolutely no acceptable high schools in the area. The only decent options are public schools. So what happens to those who can't pay? The children get sent to struggling schools which subsequently struggle more</p> <p>This is a truly ridiculous idea and can be built elsewhere, in an area in which it will not cause chaos</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Jackson
<b>Given Name</b>	Naomi
<b>Person ID</b>	1286017
<b>Title</b>	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not</b>	As above

**to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.**